

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/414,518	10/08/1999	BRIAN YANG	EM/YANG/5037	3398	
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER		
BACON & TI	HOMAS	GRIER, LAURA A			
625 SLATERS 4TH FLOOR	LANE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Ť		09/414,5	_	YANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit			
	•	Laura A		2644			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			<u> </u>			
Period f		,,					
THE - External afternal aftern	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of TSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication.  of days, a reply within the state of the control of the contro	ent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Dication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 02 January 200	14.				
· · ·		b)⊠ This action is r					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice	accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims		·				
_		ling in the applicatio	n	•			
4)🖂	<ul> <li>Claim(s) <u>28-30 and 32-43</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5)[7]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e williarawii iloili co	nsideration.				
	· /	re rejected					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-30, 33-36 and 41-43</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election r	equirement				
			oquii omanii.				
Applicat	tion Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
	Applicant may not request that any object	•.,	•	• •			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t			· ·			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		· ·	, , , , ,			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			ion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •				
	application from the Internation			- · · <b>y</b> ·			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action			ed.			
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	TO 049)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 29-30, 32-43 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Kobayashi, U.S. Patent No. 4992483.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 28-29, 32-34, 36, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi, U. S. Patent No. 4922483.

Regarding claims 28-29, Kobayashi discloses a mufti-channel PCM music broadcasting system (figures 1-3). Kobayashi discloses blocks, each block representing a music source, wherein the music source (which indicates voice signals) may include one or more channels, using a digital or analog signal (Col. 2, lines 49-53 and col. 3, lines 9-14), which constitutes generating digital voice signals and transmitting the voice signals through a plurality of channels; each block including the channels (each channel) is time division multiplexed, which indicates time division sampling of voice signal according to period channel selecting; and modulated prior to transmission, wherein the adjustment of the magnitude is inherent as evident by modulation; and the signal is transmitted as a combined signal via the mixer (col. 2, lines 49-65)

Art Unit: 2644

which reads on a multi-channel sampled signal; to a receiver, wherein the signal is processed via D/A conversion to analog signal which indicates being generated as an voice output signal.

Regarding **claim 32**, Kobayashi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 28). Thus it is inherent that the each voice signal is sampled a least once as evident by the TDM technique.

Regarding claim 33, Kobayashi discloses a mufti-channel PCM music broadcasting system (figures 1-3). Kobayashi discloses blocks, each block representing a music source, wherein the music source (which indicates voice signals) may include one or more channels, using a digital or analog signal (Col. 2, lines 49-53 and col. 3, lines 9-14), which constitutes generating digital voice signals and transmitting the voice signals through a plurality of channels; each block including the channels (each channel) is time division multiplexed, which indicates time division sampling of voice signal according to periodic channel selecting; and modulated prior to transmission, wherein the adjustment of the magnitude is inherent as evident by modulation; and the signal is transmitted as a combined signal via the mixer (col. 2, lines 49-65) which reads on a multi-channel sampled signal; to a receiver (figure 2), wherein the is coupled with a channel selection controller (27) which is coupled to a D/A conversion to analog signal which indicates being generated as an voice output signal and a voice generator for modulating the multi-channel signal via speakers, wherein the speaker(s) is inherently supported as evident by the left and right channels.

Regarding **claim 34,** Kobayashi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33). Thus it is inherent that the period of channel selecting signal corresponds to the number of channels as evident by the TDM technique.

Regarding claims 36 and 41, Kobayashi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33). Kobayashi discloses a D/A converters, which inherently supports adjusting the magnitudes and converting to signal to an output voice signal.

Regarding **claim 43**, Kobayashi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33). Thus it is inherent that the each voice signal is sampled a least once as evident by the TDM technique.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 30, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi.

Regarding claims 30 and 35, Kobayashi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 28). Kobayashi discloses bit quantization of the digital signals involved in the TDM technique. However, Kobayashi fails to specifically disclose the signal have an eight bit signal. However, an eight bit digital signal is commonly used for transmission of voice signals. Thus it is obvious to provide the digital signals of Kobayashi with a bit value of 8-bit for providing a commonly used field size (6 to 8 bits) of a digital signal when transmitted to comprises a single byte signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/414,518

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 42, Kobayshi discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 42). The examiner takes official notice that the use of power amplifiers was well known in the art. Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Kobayashi by coupling a power amplifier to the D/A converters prior to output to the speaker(s) for the purpose of enhancing the signal strength of the output voice for efficient quality sound, wherein power amplifiers are commonly used components in the art of audio signal processing.

6. Claims 37-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

7. The applicant did not present any arguments. The remarks were only made in respect the amended change. Kobayashi has been re-presented for the rejection of the claimed invention in respect to the interpretation of the claim language.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Page 5

Page 6

Art Unit: 2644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

March 15, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER